

Integrating Equity and Social Justice Topics in the College Mathematics Classroom

Jim Ham Delta College

http://bit.ly/SWregional2019JH

Social Justice and Equity:

- Inequities in completion rates in higher education
- Inequities in the U.S. death penalty
- Inequities in U.S. Poverty
- Inequities in U.S. incarceration rates
- 2018 Tax Cuts and Jobs Act
- Traffic Stops Racial Profiling?
- LGBT and inequity
- Inequity from climate change
- The Gender Pay Gap
- Immigration and DACA recipients



To remember when talking about topics of equity and social justice:

- We are not experts in social justice and equity. We learn along with our students.
- Our understanding and views about social justice and equity are evolving.
- We will make mistakes when talking about equity and social justice. We will say the wrong thing. Let's understand this, and not let it stop us from integrating social justice topics in our teaching of mathematics.
- It is important to help our students to understand that inequities exist, why they exist, and what can be done to eliminate these inequities. Awareness is the first step.

Racial equity is the condition that would be achieved if one's racial identity no longer predicted, in a statistical sense, how one fares.



Distribution of College Students

Fall 2010 Cohort by Race and Ethnicity and Starting Institution Type



Status of Two-year College Students after 6 years

Six-Year Outcomes and First Completion for Students Who Started at Two-Year Public Institutions by Race and Ethnicity (N=1,089,776)*



Status of Four-year College Students after 6 years

Six-Year Outcomes for Students Who Started at Four-Year Public Institutions by Race and Ethnicity (N=1,236,815)*



Status of College Students after 6 years

Six-Year Outcomes by Race and Ethnicity and Gender (N=2,315,562)



Status of College Students after 6 years



Racial inequities in the death penalty

- Equity awareness
- Statistical graphs
- Empirical probabilities
- Hypothesis Testing
- Simpson's Paradox

Questions about the Death Penalty in the U.S.

How many U.S. states currently have the death penalty?
 (a) 10 (b) 20 (c) 30 (d) 40 (e) 50

What is your Equity IQ?

2. Approximately how many inmates are currently sitting on death row?(a) 100 (b) 500 (c) 1000 (d) 3000 (e) 5000

3. What percentage of death row executions since 1976 were male defendants?(a) 50 (b) 75 (c) 90 (d) 95 (e) 99

4. Approximately how many death row inmates were exonerated since 1976?(a) 0 (b) 5 (c) 20 (d) 50 (e) 150

5. About 13% of the U.S. population is black. Approximately, what percentage of the U.S. death penalty executions since 1976 were black defendants?
(a) 15 (b) 25 (c) 35 (d) 50 (e) 80

What significant mathematics could be assigned using this data set?

ROSTER OF THE EXECUTED

DATE OF	NAME OF DEFENDANT/	STATE	RACE DEF /	VICTIM
EXECUTION	NUMBER IF MULTIPLE VICTIMS		VICTIM	GENDER
01-17-77	1. Gary Gilmore [*]	UT	W/W	М
05-25-79	2. John Spenkelink	FL	W/W	М
10-22-79	3. Jesse Bishop [*]	NV	W/W	М
03-09-81	4. Steven Judy [*] / 3	IN	W/3W	FFF
08-10-82	5. Frank Coppola [*]	VA	W/W	F
12-07-82	6. Charlie Brooks	TX	B/W	М
04-22-83	7. John Evans	AL	W/W	М
09-02-83	8. Jimmy Lee Gray	MS	W/W	F
11-30-83	9. Robert Sullivan	FL	W/W	М
12-14-83	10. Robert W. Williams	LA	B/B	М
12-15-83	11. John Eldon Smith / 2	GA	W/2W	MF
01-26-84	12. Anthony Antone	FL	W/W	М
12-06-16	1441. William Sallie	GA	W/W	М
12-08-16	1442. Ronald B. Smith	AL	W/W	М
01-11-17	1443. Christopher Wilkins / 2	TX	W/BL	MM
01-18-17	1444. Ricky Jovan Gray / 2	VA	B/2W	FF
01-26-17	1445. Terry Edwards / 2	TX	B/2W	MF
01-31-17	1446. Mark Christeson / 3	MO	W/3W	MFF
03-07-17	1447. Rolando Ruiz	TX	L/L	F
03-14-17	1448. James Bigby / 2	TX	W/2W	MM

DEATH ROW INMATES BY RACE



EXECUTIONS BY REGION*



which the crime was committed.

Murder Rates per 100,000 (2014)

The death penalty does not seem to be a deterrent.



		White	Black	Latinx	Asian	Total
	White	747 94.6%	20 2.5%	17 2.2%	6 0.8%	790
idant	Black	287 58.7%	167 34.%	20 4.1%	15 3.1%	489
Jefer	Latinx	51 44.7%	3 2.6%	58 50.9%	2 1.8%	114
	Asian	2 28.6%	0 0%	0 0%	5 71.4%	7
	TOTAL:	1087	190	95	28	1400

		White	Black	Latinx	Asian	Total
	White	747 68.7%	20 10.5%	17 17.9%	6 21.4%	790
Idant	Black	287 26.4%	167 87.9%	20 21.1%	15 53.6%	489
Defer	Latinx	51 4.7%	3 1.6%	58 61.1%	2 7.1%	114
	Asian	2 0.2%	0 0%	0 0%	5 17.9%	7
	TOTAL:	1087	190	95	28	1400

		White	Black	Latinx	Asian	Total
ht	White	747	20	17	6	790
Ida	Black	287	167	20	15	489
fer	Latinx	51	3	58	2	114
De	Asian	2	0	0	5	7
	TOTAL:	1087	190	95	28	1400

P(Executed Defendant was White) = 790/1400 = .564

P(Victim was Latinx) = 95/1400=.068

P(Victim was White | Executed Defendant was Black) = 287/489 = .587 P(Victim was Latinx and Executed Defendant was Black) = 20/1400 = .014 P(Asian Executed Defendant or Asian Victim) = 30/1400 = .021

		White	Black	Latinx	Asian	Total
nt	White	747	20	17	6	790
Ida	Black	287	167	20	15	489
fen	Latinx	51	3	58	2	114
De	Asian	2	0	0	5	7
	TOTAL:	1087	190	95	28	1400

Persons Executed for Interracial Murders

287



 $\frac{\text{Chi-square Test (w/o Asian)}}{X^2 = 656}$ P = .0000

Simpson's Paradox 1976-1977 Murder Cases in Florida

		Race of I		
		White	Black	Total
Was indicted	Ver	19	17	26
defendant	Ies	11.9%	10.2%	30
sentenced to	No	141	149	200
death?	110	88.1%	89.8%	290
	Total	160	166	326

Simpson's Paradox

		Race of Defendant		
		White	Black	Total
Was indicted	Ver	19	17	26
defendant	Ies	11.9%	10.2%	30
sentenced to	No	141	149	200
death?	110	88.1%	89.8%	290
	Total	160	166	326

p=.64

White Victims only		fendant	ndant Total		Black Victim	s only	Race of De	Total	
	White	Black	Total	-		White	Black	Total	
Vos	19	11	30		Was indicted	Vos	0	6	6
162	12.6%	17.5%	50		defendant	res	0%	5.8%	0
No	132	52	19/		sentenced to	No	9	97	106
140	87.4%	82.5%	104		death?	140	100%	94.2%	100
Total	151	63	214			Total	9	103	112
	only Yes No Total	Race of De White Yes 19 12.6% No 132 87.4% Total 151	Race of Defendant White Black Yes 19 11 12.6% 17.5% No 132 52 87.4% 82.5% Total 151 63	Race of Defendant Total White Black Total Yes 19 11 30 12.6% 17.5% 30 No 132 52 184 87.4% 82.5% 184 Total 151 63 214	Race of Defendant Total White Black Total Yes 19 11 30 12.6% 17.5% 30 No 132 52 184 87.4% 82.5% 184 Total 151 63 214	Race of Defendant Total Black Victim White Black Total Black Victim Yes 19 11 30 Was indicted defendant sentenced to death? No 132 52 184 death? Total 151 63 214 death?	Race of Defendant WhiteTotalBlack Victims onlyYes191130Was indicted defendantYesNo13252184Sentenced to death?NoTotal15163214Total	Race of Defendant WhiteTotalBlack Victims only Black Victims onlyRace of Defendent WhiteYes191130Was indicted defendantYes0No13252184Sentenced to death?No9Total15163214Total9	

p=.35

Simpson's Paradox

	;	Race of D		
		White	Black	Total
Was defendant indicted on a <u>first</u>	Yes	128 80.0%	114 68.7%	242
<u>degree</u> murder charge?	No	32 20.0%	52 31.3%	84
	Total	160	166	326

p=.02

White Victims only		Race of Defendant Tota		Total	Black Victims only		Race of Defendant		Total
		White	Black	1			White	Black	
Was defendant indicted on a first degree	Yes	124 82.1%	58 92.1%	182	Was defendant indicted on a first degree	Yes	4 44.4%	56 54.4%	60
murder charge?	No	27 17.9%	5 7.9%	32	murder charge?	No	5 55.5%	47 45.6%	52
	Total	151	63	214		Total	9	103	112

p=.06

American Sociological Review, Vol. 46, No. 6 (Dec. 1981), pp. 918-927

Inequities in poverty rates

- Poverty awareness
- Statistical graphs
- Empirical probabilities
- Infographic

1. Approximately what percentage of U.S. citizens live in poverty? (a) 2 (b) 8 (c) 12 (d) 18 (e) 33

2. Approximately what percentage of U.S. children live in poverty?(a) 2 (b) 8 (c) 12 (d) 18 (e) 33

3. Approximately what percentage of U.S. citizens living in poverty are children?(a) 2 (b) 8 (c) 12 (d) 18 (e) 33



Percent of Americans in various groups living in poverty in 2017



What do you notice?

What do you wonder?











*Additional reference: Children's Defense Fund

Inequities in incarceration rates

- Inequity awareness
- Statistical graphs (Constructing and Interpreting)
- Percentages in a context

Incarceration Rates in the U.S.

What is your Equity IQ?

About 13% of the U.S. population is black. Approximately what percent of those incarcerated in the U.S. are black?
 (a) 10 (b) 15 (c) 25 (d) 35 (e) 40

2. Approximately what percent of the U.S. black population is incarcerated?

(a) 2 (b) 7 (c) 12 (d) 17 (e) 33

3. The incarceration rate for blacks is _____ times higher than whites.

(a) 2 (b) 3 (c) 5 (d) 7 (e) 10

4. 38% of U.S. citizens are people of color (non white).
Approximately what percent of juveniles serving life without parole are people of color?
(a) 15 (b) 40 (c) 50 (d) 70 (e) 80 www.prisonpolicy.org

Racial and ethnic disparities in prisons and jails

Whites are underrepresented in the incarcerated population while Blacks are overrepresented.



www.prisonpolicy.org



www.prisonpolicy.org

Based on this graph, which racial group makes up the largest percent of the total U.S. population? Approximately what percent is this?

Which racial group makes up the largest percentage of people currently incarcerated?

In 2000, there were approximately 265,000,000 total people in the U.S., and 2,185,000 of them were in prison. Based on the graphs:

Estimate how many people of each race there were in the U.S. in 2000.

Estimate how many people of each race there were in prison in 2000.

For each race, determine what percent of their total population is currently incarcerated.



www.prisonpolicy.org

For each race, determine what percent of their total population is currently incarcerated.

	Total Population	Incarcerated	% Incarcerated
White	169,600,000	852,150	0.5%
Black	37,100,000	874,000	2.4%
Latino	42,400,000	415,150	0.9%
Native	2,385,000	21,850	0.9%
Total	265,000,000	2,185,000	

Economic Inequities

- Awareness: Inequities in wealth
- Statistical graphs (Constructing and Interpreting)
- Expected value
A comparison between the Top 10% and Bottom 90%, Average Income in the U.S.



The accelerating decline in wealth over the past 30 years has left many Black and Latino families unable to reach the middle class. Between 1983 and 2013, the wealth of median Black and Latino households decreased by 75% (from \$6,800 to \$1,700) and 50% (from \$4,000 to \$2,000), respectively, while median White household wealth rose by 14% (from \$102,200 to \$116,800).

Draw a graph that displays the information above.

https://prosperitynow.org/resources/road-zero-wealth

The accelerating decline in wealth over the past 30 years has left many Black and Latino families unable to reach the middle class. Between 1983 and 2013, the wealth of median Black and Latino households decreased by 75% (from \$6,800 to \$1,700) and 50% (from \$4,000 to \$2,000), respectively, while median White household wealth rose by 14% (from \$102,200 to \$116,800).



https://prosperitynow.org/resources/road-zero-wealth

Low- and middle-income families have lost ground in both income and wealth Percentage change in average family income and median net wealth between 2001 and 2013, by income guintile



Note: For wealth data, families are grouped into income guintiles by the Federal Reserve using the Survey of Consumer Finances' concept of "usual" before-tax income. For income data, families are grouped into income guintiles by the Census Bureau using the Current Population Survey's concept of before-tax "money" income.

Sources: Income data from U.S. Bureau of the Census. Current Population Survey (U.S. Department of Commerce, 2014), Table F3, Historical Income. Net wealth data from Federal Reserve System, "Survey of Consumer Finances" (2014), Table 4, Historic Tables Based on Internal Data.

https://talkpoverty.org/2014/09/16/poverty-income-data/



Are all single taxpayers treated equitably in the 2018 tax relief law?

What would be the 2018 income tax savings for a single person with no dependents who has a gross income of \$75,000, adjustments of \$4,000, one exemption, \$2,475 in itemized deductions, and no tax credits?

2017 Tax Bracket	2017 Income Range	Your 2017 tax is
10%	\$0-\$9,325	10% of your taxable income
15%	\$9,326-\$37,950	\$932.50 plus 15% of your income above \$9,325
25%	\$37,951-\$91,900	\$5,226.25 plus 25% of your income above \$37,950
28%	\$91,901-\$191,650	\$18,713.75 plus 28% of your income above \$91,900
33%	\$191,651-\$416,700	\$46,643.75 plus 33% of your income above \$191,650
35%	\$416,701-\$418,400	\$120,910.25 plus 35% of your income above \$416,700
39.60%	\$418,401 and above	\$121,505.25 plus 39.6% of your income above \$418,400

2018 Tax Bracket	2018 Income Range	Your 2018 tax is
10%	\$0-\$9 <i>,</i> 525	10% of your taxable income
12%	\$9,525-\$38,700	\$952.50 + 12% of the amount over \$9,525
22%	\$38,700-\$82,500	\$4,453.50 + 22% of the amount over \$38,700
24%	\$82,500-\$157,500	\$14,089.50 + 24% of the amount over \$82,500
32%	\$157,500-\$200,000	\$32,089.50 + 32% of the amount over \$157,500
35%	\$200,000-\$500,000	\$45,689.50 + 35% of the amount over \$200,000
37%	Over \$500,000	\$150,689.50 + 37% of the amount over \$500,000

Compute the 2018 tax cut or tax savings for the individual with the gross income of \$75,000.

	2017	2018
Adjusted Gross Income	\$71,000	\$71,000
Exemptions	\$4,050	\$0
Deductions	\$6,350	\$12,000
Taxable Income	\$60,600	\$59,000
Marginal Tax Bracket	25%	22%
Tax Owed	\$10,888.75	\$8,919.50
Savings	\$1,96	9.25



Effect of the new tax bracket on individual filers

Gross Income	2018 Tax Savings
\$10,000	\$0
\$20,000	\$174
\$30,000	\$504
\$40,000	\$804
\$50,000	\$1,269
\$60,000	\$1,639
\$70,000	\$1,939
\$80,000	\$2,239
\$90,000	\$2,539
\$100,000	\$2,729
\$110,000	\$3,060
\$120,000	\$3,460
\$130,000	\$3,860
\$140,000	\$4,260
\$150,000	\$4,660
\$160,000	\$5,060
\$170,000	\$5,420
\$180,000	\$5,020
\$190,000	\$4,620
\$200,000	\$4,220
\$220,000	\$4,078
\$240,000	\$3,678

Gross Income	2018 Tax Savings
\$250,000	\$3,478
\$280,000	\$2,878
\$300,000	\$2,478
\$320,000	\$2,078
\$340,000	\$1,678
\$360,000	\$1,278
\$380,000	\$878
\$400,000	\$478
\$420,000	\$78
\$440,000	\$451
\$460,000	\$1,371
\$480,000	\$2,291
\$500,000	\$3,211
\$550,000	\$4,751
\$600,000	\$6,051
\$700,000	\$8,651
\$800,000	\$11,251
\$900,000	\$13,851
\$1,000,000	\$16,451
\$2,000,000	\$42,451
\$3,000,000	\$68,450



2018 Tax Savings for Individual Filers by Income





2018 Tax Savings for Individual Filers by Income





2018 Tax Savings for Individual Filers by Income





2018 Tax Savings of Married Couples with 2 Children by Gross Income



Tax credit doubles to \$2,000 per child for most tax payers.



Source: Tax Policy Center

Credit: Danielle Kurtzleben & Katie Park/NPR

https://www.npr.org/2017/12/19/571754894/charts-see-how-much-of-gop-tax-cuts-will-go-to-the-middle-class

Gross Household Income Range	Midpoint of Income Class	Average Tax Cut in 2018	Percent of the Households in the Income Range
\$0-\$10,000	\$5,000	\$10	6%
\$10,001-\$20,000	\$15,000	\$50	11%
\$20,001-\$30,000	\$25,000	\$180	11%
\$30,001-\$40,000	\$35,000	\$360	9%
\$40,001-\$50,000	\$45,000	\$570	8%
\$50,001-\$75,000	\$62,500	\$870	18%
\$75,001-\$100,000	\$87,500	\$1,310	17%
\$100,001-\$200,000	\$150,000	\$2,260	14%
\$200,001-\$450,000	\$325,000	\$7,100	5%
\$450,001+	\$1,200,000	\$41,800	1%

Average (expected) Tax Cut = \$1,573

Inequities – Sexuality/LGBT

- Awareness: Where do we find inequities in the LGBT community?
- Statistical graphs (Constructing and Interpreting)
- Percent increase/decrease
- Hypothesis testing

Percentage of Americans Identifying as LGBT, by Birth Cohort

Millennials (1980-1999) Science of Content of Conten

% Traditionalists (1913-1945)

				7.3	8.2	8
5.8	6.0	6.3	6.7	1.5		0
		2.4			3.5	0
3.2	3.3	3.4	3.3	3.2		4
1.8	1.8	1.9	2.6	2.4	2.4	2
2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	0

10

Percentage of U.S. Adults Identifying as LGBT by Gender 2012-2017

	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017
	%	%	%	%	%	%
Gender						
Male	3.4	3.5	3.6	3.7	3.7	3.9
Female	3.5	3.6	3.9	4.1	4.4	5.1

https://news.gallup.com/poll/234863/estimate-lgbt-population-rises.aspx



https://williamsinstitute.law.ucla.edu/visualization/lgbt-stats/?topic=LGBT#economic



https://williamsinstitute.law.ucla.edu/visualization/lgbt-stats/?topic=LGBT#economic



https://williamsinstitute.law.ucla.edu/visualization/lgbt-stats/?topic=LGBT#economic

Gender Pay Gap

- Awareness: Is the gender pay gap really a thing?
- Ratios
- Times series graphs (Constructing and Interpreting)
- Regression (Making predictions from trend lines.)
- Other statistical graphs

What is the ratio of women's median earnings to men's median earnings in the U.S.? ______(a) .70:1 (b) .75:1 (c) .80:1 (d) .90:1 (e) .95:1

For every dollar a white man earns, an Hispanic woman will earn _____ cents. (a) 43 (b) 53 (c) 63 (d) 73 (e) 83

EARNINGS WOMEN'S MEDIAN EARNINGS

$\frac{2017}{\text{EARNINGS}} = \frac{\$41,997}{\$52,146} = 800\%$

The Gender Pay Gap Over Time

Using PayScale's crowdsourced compensation data



Uncontrolled Gender Pay Gap: Measures median salary for all men and all women regardless of job type, seniority, location, industry, years of experience, etc.

Controlled Gender Pay Gap: Measures pay for men and women with the same job and qualifications.





Women's Median Annual Earnings as a Percentage of Men's for Full-time,

Year-round Workers, 1960–2017 and Projections



10 Occupations Where Women Collectively Lose the Most Money

	Women Employed	Men's Earnings	Women's Earnings	Pay Ratio	Profession Gap
Financial managers	554,104	\$100,575	\$65,237	65%	\$19,581,000,000
Physicians and surgeons	274,511	\$243,072	\$171,880	71%	\$19,543,000,000
Accountants and auditors	1,014,827	\$77,320	\$60,280	78%	\$17,293,000,000
First-line supervisors of retail sales workers	1,177,835	\$47,774	\$35,217	74%	\$14,790,000,000
Registered nurses	2,092,489	\$71,590	\$65,612	92%	\$12,509,000,000
Marketing and sales managers	383,998	\$100,288	\$71,066	71%	\$11,221,000,000
Lawyers	320,159	\$140,270	\$106,837	76%	\$10,704,000,000
Chief executives	266,890	\$148,867	\$111,236	75%	\$10,043,000,000
Medical and health services managers	456,984	\$87,451	\$67,129	77%	\$9,287,000,000
Education administrators	500,325	\$83,383	\$64,989	78%	\$9,203,000,000

10 Largest Pay Gaps for Women

	Women Employed	Men's Earnings	Women's Earnings	Pay Ratio	Profession Gap
Securities, commodities, and financial services sales agents	60,572	\$101,423	\$61,936	61%	\$2,392,000,000
Financial managers	554,104	\$100,575	\$65,237	65%	\$19,581,000,000
Personal financial advisors	109,105	\$108,199	\$71,154	66%	\$4,042,000,000
Production, planning, and expediting clerks	162,744	\$60,836	\$41,040	67%	\$3,222,000,000
Credit counselors and loan officers	150,841	\$77,214	\$52,257	68%	\$3,765,000,000
Inspectors, testers, sorters, samplers, and weighers	242,910	\$46,955	\$32,280	69%	\$3,565,000,000
Retail salespersons	644,453	\$40,182	\$27,800	69%	\$7,980,000,000
First-line supervisors of housekeeping and janitorial workers	71,736	\$43,968	\$30,461	69%	\$969,000,000
Insurance sales agents	212,096	\$61,768	\$42,951	70%	\$3,991,000,000
Sales and related workers, all other	83,106	\$67,634	\$47,543	70%	\$1,670,000,000

10 Smallest Pay Gaps for Women

	Women Employed	Men's Earnings	Women's Earnings	Pay Ratio	Profession Gap
Wholesale and retail buyers, except farm products	65,395	\$41,903	\$45,496	109%	-\$235,000,000
Combined food preparation and serving workers, including fast food	87,640	\$20,886	\$20,592	99%	\$26,000,000
Writers and authors	78,568	\$56,059	\$55,092	98%	\$76,000,000
Pharmacists	111,516	\$122,441	\$120,173	98%	\$253,000,000
Counselors	416,330	\$47,207	\$46,104	98%	\$459,000,000
Social workers	586,871	\$46,906	\$45,644	97%	\$741,000,000
Clinical laboratory technologists and technicians	179,012	\$50,108	\$48,241	96%	\$334,000,000
Receptionists and information clerks	617,205	\$30,319	\$28,919	95%	\$864,000,000
Computer occupations, all other	137,341	\$73,301	\$68,923	94%	\$601,000,000
Security guards and gaming surveillance officers	156,280	\$32,003	\$30,072	94%	\$302,000,000

GENDER PAY GAP BY EDUCATION LEVEL

		•	UNCONT	ROLLED	CON	TROLLED						
Health Professional Doctorate (MD, DMD, DVM, etc.)										•		
No Degree										•		
Associate's Degree										•		
High School Diploma												
Doctorate (PhD)												
Bachelor's Degree									•			
Law Degree (JD, LLM)									•			
Master's Degree (non MBA)									•			
Master of Business Administration (MBA)									•			
	0	\$0.10	\$0.20	\$0.30	\$0.40	\$0.50	\$0.60	\$0.70	\$0.80	\$0.90	\$1.0	\$1.10
										RE	LATIVE TO \$ BY WHITE	\$1 EARNED E MEN

WOMEN OF COLOR ARE FAR MORE LIKELY TO REMAIN IN THE INDIVIDUAL CONTRIBUTOR LEVEL THAN WHITE MEN



PayScale



JOB LEVEL- INDIVIDUAL CONTRIBUTORS



JOB LEVEL - MANAGER/SUPERVISOR



JOB LEVEL - DIRECTOR



JOB LEVEL - EXECUTIVE

Racial Inequities – Traffic Stops

- Awareness: Racial inequities
- Statistical graphs (Constructing and Interpreting)
- Conditional proportions, percentages, probabilities

01/01/2008 - 06/30/2008

LOS ANGELES POLICE DEPARTMENT FIELD DATA STATISTICS CITYWIDE

DESCRIPTION OF FEEM				DRIVER			
DESCRIPTION OF ITEM	White	Black	Hispanic	Aslan	Amer. Ind.	Other	Total
NUMBER OF DRIVERS							
	102873	52456	120120	24489	608	4094	304640
CINDER							
Mair	67158	35003	91369	15491	457	2101	212579
(email)	35715	17453	28751	8998	151	993	92061
APPARENT ACE							
1-1/	1179	614	1870	154	3	65	3865
18 - 25	20903	14789	38877	4876	131	1027	80603
<u>16</u> -19	27355	15318	3 7880	6918	166	1123	88760
NG - 40	24165	10998	24602	5258	152	821	66042
46 - 22	16892	6917	11955	4142	112	679	40257
75+	12479	7800	4936	2101	44	413	24(1)
INITIAL RIASON FOR STOP	-71-7	2.00	- 6600	. 5304	2.00	2.14	
venicie Code Moving violation Vehicle Code Bolesteine Violation	6/01/	26481	6099	19200	748	26.4	1//284
Vehicle Code Folderen Vedation	21/	168	286	4/	211		121
Wanted Code Equipment Registration Violation	280/6	22947	4/4/3	68.45	211	1162	1066-0
Summer Plats	6181	1966	2/67	2102	44	234	14/16
Company al		10	100	11		-	444
Call For Service	545	311	100	114		77	2214
Department Briefing (Vine Brook and Bulleting Roll Cull)		101	210	116		4	2214
Penal Code Violation	141	522	1304	99		24	1779
Bealth & Safety Code Violation	184	187	323	18	-	10	724
Other	125	170	(22	15		10	942
DID DRIVER EXIT VEHICLE?			1122				
Ya .	9113	12820	30512	1990	50	50	557/7
No	91540	39636	89,08	22499	5.58	3572	249773
DID YOUASK DRIVER TO EXIT?							212717
Yes.	5766	10441	25777	1174	35	347	43500
PAT DOWNFRISK SEARCH CONDUCTED?							
Ya	3595	8260	16461	650	14	216	29196
DETAINEE ASKED TO SUBMIT TO CONSENSUAL SEA RCH?							
Yes	2017	4638	9023	306	8	117	16109
CBA NTED?							
Ya	1869	4419	8625	284	6	110	15313
WAS SEARCH CONDUCTED?							
Yes	4310	8263	20323	775	20	249	37940
IF WARRANTLESS SEARCH CONDUCTED, SEARCH							
Parolo/Probation	448	1765	1493	35		24	3765
Odor of Contrahand	208	451	585	21	1	25	1291
Incident to Pat Down/Friak	619	1491	3006	95	4	58	5273
ncident to Arrest	1795	1792	5704	421	5	83	9800
Impound Authority	1172	1977	7827	186	11	47	10780
Visible Contraband	133	149	323	14		10	629
Consent	1915	4575	8833	289	5	120	15760
Uther	30	43	97	4		4	178
IF SEARCH WAS CONDUCTED, WHAT WAS SEARCHED?							
Vehicle	2804	2267	14401	452	14	170	23408
Person	3384	6822	19922	6.28	9	201	24970
Container	120	129	245	11		8	525
Aber	17	20	42	1		1	81
WASANTINING DISCOVERIDISTING;							
THE REAL PROPERTY AND AND A PROPERTY	1996	2/09	10.84	313	12	108	15482
IF 38A RELEW AS CONDUCTED, WHAT WAS DISCOVERED?							
M capters	47	87	201	6		3	.46
No. 10	120	124		11		6	639
A Sector 1	492	628	1198			40	2446
Other Controlmed	69	0	301	8		1	4/2
Other Soldward of Colors	86	16	202	1	⊢ − −	5	116
Dilue Deservice	2/2	89	/19	11		3	323
Value Property	267	300	CLBs The	49	1	10	1480
ACTION TAKEN	1070	1246		111	11	46	INP(1
ALLER LABOR	23224	115-7	22/24	204	140	973	117
Distion	774/2	72004	00040	170-7	192	124-	2293/
Arrest - Total	4002	1510	0/0/	254	19	721	18,151
							and the second se

The LAPD publishes their field statistics online.

This report summarizes all traffic stops in the City of Los Angeles over the first six months of 2008.



http://lapdonline.org/professional_standards_bureau/pdf_view/40532
Students compare and contrast the way drivers of different races were treated by police officers during traffic stops. Here is a subset of the data from the LAPD traffic stop summary.

	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Amer. Ind.	Other	Total
NUMBER OF DRIVERS STOPPED	102873	52456	120120	24489	608	4094	304640
DRIVER ASKED TO EXIT	5766	10441	25777	1134	35	347	43500
PAT DOWN/FRISK CONDUCTED	3595	8260	16461	650	14	216	29196
SEARCH WAS CONDUCTED	4310	8263	20323	775	20	249	33940
SOMETHING WAS DISCOVERED/SEIZED IN THE SEARCH	1956	2709	10384	313	12	108	15482
ACTION TAKEN: WARNING	23026	11567	22678	6201	142	823	64437
ACTION TAKEN: ARREST	4092	3510	9696	854	18	281	18451

Comparison between Race of Driver and Actions taken at Traffic Stops in Los Angeles



Traffic Stops in the U.S.





Officers generally stop black drivers at higher rates than white drivers, and stop Hispanic drivers at similar or lower rates than whites.

Data from 21 state patrol agencies and 29 municipal police departments, comprising nearly 100 million traffic stops.

https://openpolicing.stanford.edu/findings/

What do you wonder?

Searches





https://openpolicing.stanford.edu/findings/

Climate Change

- Awareness: What is the connection between climate change and social inequity?
- Times series graphs (Constructing and Interpreting)
- Hypothesis testing

Cities Most Vulnerable to Coastal Flooding by 2050

Top 25 cities and their populations at risk (thousands) within FEMA's 100-year coastal floodplain as augmented by projected sea level rise

		Pop. at		
		Risk	%	%
	City	(1000s)	Black	Hispanic
1	New York	426	24%	27%
2	Hialeah, FL	204	3%	94%
3	Miami	154	19%	68%
4	Fort Lauderdale, FL	127	32%	16%
5	Pembroke Pines, FL	120	20%	41%
6	Coral Springs, FL	119	22%	25%
7	Miramar, FL	100	46%	35%
8	St. Petersburg, FL	91	24%	8%
9	Davie, FL	90	10%	34%
10	Miami Beach, FL	87	4%	53%
11	Charleston, SC	83	23%	3%
12	Pompano Beach, FL	80	32%	19%
13	Sunrise, FL	79	34%	27%

14	Hollywood, FL	76	17%	32%
15	Miami Gardens, FL	72	73%	22%
16	Norfolk, VA	66	42%	7%
17	Lauderhill, FL	66	78%	7%
18	Cape Coral, FL	66	4%	20%
19	Boston	62	25%	16%
20	Tamarac, FL	60	28%	27%
21	Virginia Beach, FL	58	19%	7%
22	Tampa, FL	57	25%	23%
23	Fountainebleau, FL	56	2%	91%
24	Margate, FL	53	27%	24%
25	Kendale Lakes, FL	51	2%	89%

https://www.climatecentral.org/news/us-cities-most-vulnerable-major-coastal-flooding-sea-level-rise-21748



Climate Change: CO2 Emissions

http://www.gcrio.org/NationalAssess ment/overpdf/overview.html



https://climate.nasa.gov/

ftp://aftp.cmdl.noaa.gov/products/ trends/co2/co2_mm_mlo.txt

Climate Change

Misleading Graph?





https://climate.nasa.gov/

ftp://aftp.cmdl.noaa.gov/products/trends/co2/co2_mm_mlo.txt



Climate Change: Global Temperature

http://www.gcrio.org/NationalAssess ment/overpdf/overview.html



https://climate.nasa.gov/

Antarctica Glaciers Relative to April 2002





https://climate.nasa.gov/

Climate Change



Greenland Glaciers Relative to April 2002

Immigration

Most Illegal Immigration Isn't Coming From The Mexican Border

Visa overstays continue to outpace border apprehensions. In fiscal 2017, the number of immigrants who overstayed their visas was double the number of people caught trying to cross the U.S.-Mexico border.



* The Visa Waiver Program enables people from 38 countries to travel to the U.S. for 90 days or less without a visa. Designated VWP countries include Germany, France, Japan, the United Kingdom and Singapore.



Figure 1: Criminal conviction rates by immigration status in Texas

Per 100,000 residents in each subpopulation, 2015



Sources: Author's analysis of Texas Department of Public Safety data, the American Community Survey, and the Center for Migration Studies.

Figure 2: Homicide conviction rates by immigration status in Texas

Per 100,000 residents in each subpopulation, 2015



Sources: Author's analysis of Texas Department of Public Safety data, the American Community Survey, and the Center for Migration Studies.

Why integrate social justice examples in the classroom?

- Creates an awareness of inequities that exist in the U.S.
- Allows students to determine the validity of statements made in the media
- Makes the mathematics or statistics relevant







45th Annual Conference November 14-17, 2019 Milwaukee, WI Jim Ham Delta College

jimham@amatyc.org



http://bit.ly/SocialJusticeDataJH